Transcript produced by Official Court Reporter

1	Appearances:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
3		By: William P. Cole Caroline P. Han
4		ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS 880 Front Street, Suite 6293
5		San Diego, CA 92101
6	For the Defendants: (Mr. Moalin)	Marc B. Geller, Esq.
7 8	, ,	LAW OFFICES OF MARC B. GELLER 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101
9	(Mr. M. Mohamud)	Mahir T. Sherif, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MAHIR T. SHERIF 3376 30th Street
11		San Diego, CA 92104
12	(Mr. Doreh)	Kenneth J. Troiano, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF KENNETH J. TROIANO P.O. Box 33536
13		San Diego, CA 92163
14	(Mr. A. Mohamud)	Kenneth J. Troiano, Esq. (Special Appearance)
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
San Diego, California - Thursday, March 10, 2011, 2:53 p.m.
 1
 2
          (Defendant Mr. A. Mohamud is being assisted by a Somali
 3
     interpreter.)
 4
               THE CLERK: -- Moalin, Mohamed Mohamud,
 5
    Issa Doreh, and Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud.
 6
               MR. SHERIF: Good afternoon, your Honor. Mahir
 7
     Sherif on behalf of Mr. Mohamed Mohamed Mohamud. He's
 8
    present in custody.
 9
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
10
               MR. GELLER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Marc
11
     Geller appearing on behalf of Mr. Moalin. He's personally
12
    present before the Court in custody.
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
13
14
               MR. TROIANO: Good afternoon. Kenneth Troiano on
15
    behalf of Issa Doreh. He speaks English and is in the back
16
         I'm also specially appearing for Holly Sullivan (sic)
17
     on behalf of her client, who's defendant number 4, Mr.
18
     Taalil, and he needs the Somali interpreter. And I was just
19
    in the middle of explaining to him why he was here today.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, take a couple more
21
     quick minutes to conclude that conversation then.
22
               MR. SHERIF: May our clients be seated, your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Pardon me?
24
               MR. SHERIF: May they be seated?
25
               THE COURT: Not yet.
```

```
MR. SHERIF: I need to talk to him for just --
 1
 2
               THE COURT: If the marshals are okay with it,
 3
     that's fine.
 4
               MR. SHERIF: They said they're fine.
 5
               THE COURT: That's fine.
 6
          (Brief pause in the proceedings.)
 7
               MR. TROIANO: I think we're ready to go, your
 8
    Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ahmed Nasir Taalil
10
    Mohamud, your attorney, Holly Hanover, is not present this
11
    afternoon and -- Mr. Troiano, you're specially appearing for
12
    her did you say?
13
               MR. TROIANO: Yes.
14
               THE COURT: Mr. Troiano, who is the attorney for
15
     one of your co-defendants, Mr. Doreh, has agreed to stand in
16
    and represent you for the purposes of today's hearing, but I
17
    will only allow that if you agree to Mr. Troiano's
18
    representation this afternoon, and it's only for this
19
    afternoon. Do you agree?
20
               DEFENDANT MR. A. MOHAMUD: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Okay. So it looks like the
22
    -- we've ironed out a few of the wrinkles -- oh, I didn't get
23
    the -- the appearances for the government counsel I don't
24
     think. Did I?
25
               MS. HAN: No, your Honor. Good afternoon, your
```

Honor. Caroline Han and William Cole on behalf of the United States.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HAN: And, your Honor, we would also ask that a representative from Geo appear, and the warden, Eric Noonan, is present.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. It looks like most of the -- the wrinkles have been ironed out here, from what I could tell from the joint report, but not all.

MR. GELLER: I think the biggest problem we're having right now, your Honor, is that -- is to -- how we're going to get the computer and how -- who's going to pay for the computer. I spoke before we convened today -- actually first by telephone this morning and then later out in the hall -- with Mr. Cole about the possibility of -- I believe, I don't know for sure, but there are some FBI agents present in court, and they may know, and Mr. Cole may know -- that the FBI -- and the Court may know from having been employed at the U.S. Attorney's Office for quite some time -- I believe that the FBI probably has a number of laptop computers that they've seized with either child pornography or other materials on them that can't be returned to the individuals from whom they were seized. And I think the most expeditious way might be to provide these defendants with the discovery, especially at Geo where they don't have a laptop

computer available, is if the government, or the FBI, downloaded onto one of these laptops the CDs, and that could be placed at the library at Geo. It would also be further secure because he wouldn't have any software that could become lost or could disappear in some way; you just have it all on the laptop. It wouldn't be very complicated to download it onto a hard drive; you don't need a very sophisticated computer to do that.

The problem that we're having, as the Court probably is aware of, due to the lack of budget right now, none of the CJA vouchers are going to be paid that haven't already been submitted. I understand that while the Ninth Circuit recommended that one of us go out and purchase a computer, we were informed that the CJA clerk here in this district said they didn't know why they would approve the purchase of a laptop and who would own the laptop and what would happen to the laptop later and then would they approve the time that it would take to go out and purchase the laptop. So I think that that would make -- that might make it real simple to provide these defendants with discovery and give them the opportunity to go over it.

The other issue and I think the only remaining issue that we need to deal with -- and I think Warden Noonan might be able to solve that issue -- is the amount -- when they could, the two defendants that are housed at Geo, would

```
be able to use the library and review the discovery. And I
 1
 2
     don't know if the Court wants to inquire with respect to that
 3
    issue with the warden or -- or not at this point.
 4
               THE COURT: Now, am I correct in assuming that the
 5
    access to discovery at the MCC and the time slots or the time
 6
    available to the defendants who are housed at the MCC is
    acceptable to all -- both sides?
 7
 8
               MR. SHERIF: Yes, your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. So now we're just dealing with
10
     the two defendants who are housed at -- at Geo; is that
11
     correct?
12
               MR. GELLER: Yes, your Honor.
13
               MS. HAN: Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's -- let's deal first
15
    with the issue of a laptop or some computer. There's going
16
    to need to be some access to a computer unless the defendants
17
     -- and those are -- sorry -- the two defendants there are Mr.
18
    Basaaly Moalin and Mr. Issa Doreh, correct?
19
               MR. GELLER: That is correct.
20
               MR. TROIANO: Right.
               THE COURT: Okay. So there is a computer there
21
22
     that can be used, if they want to use it, from midnight to 4
     a.m. or something like that?
23
24
               MR. GELLER: No, there's no computer.
```

THE COURT: No --

MR. TROIANO: Well, your Honor --1 2 THE COURT: -- computer at all? 3 MR. TROIANO: -- I should -- that's a more 4 complicated question. First of all, there's no quarantee 5 that that would work. After speaking with Warden Noonan, 6 there's no guarantee that the law library would -- would 7 actually work well because of the inmates being in segregation. There is computer access there, and this could 8 be put on it. Those hours are not workable for my client. 10 My client informed me as a backup, if they had to use the law 11 library as a backup, he'd be willing to start as late as 11 12 p.m. and go for a couple hours. There is time that is taken 13 away because of movement of inmates, especially segregated 14 inmates, but he's willing to -- to work within the system. I 15 think the idea of having to work between midnight and 6 a.m. 16 is not really such a great idea to begin with, but he's 17 willing to be flexible on that -- that -- that backup 18 alternative, which is why we -- I think the preference is the 19 attorney room option; I think that's more workable for the --20 for Geo during certain hours of the day. 21 THE COURT: According to the joint statement, the 22 hours in the attorney visitor room would be from 4 a.m. to 8 23 a.m., which are okay hours for me, but --24 MR. TROIANO: But those aren't -- those -- we've

come with another work-around. That would require the

laptop, and that's the preferred option.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. TROIANO: Because of my client's religious beliefs, he would -- apparently he prays a half hour before sunrise, and when the time change comes I think this weekend coming up, that would mean he'd be praying around 6:30, so he said well, if he could do it from 7:00 to 9:00, that sounds good to me. Warden Noonan had expressed some concerns about going as late as 9 a.m., but he also countered with the possibility of going from 9:30 p.m. till 11:30 p.m. in the attorney rooms, and I think that might be available seven days a week. And that would be -- to me as a primary option would be best, although it's only two hours a day for (unintelligible) defendants, there may be days where they can share the time or alternate and --

THE COURT: And what does that mean, "share," both be in there at the same time?

MR. TROIANO: Well, they're in the same cell now, so --

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. TROIANO: That wasn't our choice, but that's the way it worked, and apparently that meets with the security regulations that whoever put them in segregation --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TROIANO: -- both.

THE COURT: So using the law library, the computer in the law library, Warden, would be sort of hit or miss?

WARDEN NOONAN: It would be hit or miss. Depends on how many other segregation that -- when we would allow our segregation inmates to use the law library. For the amount of time they want to use it or going to need to use it, it's -- it's going to be hit or miss. I have 30 other segregation inmates that want to use it also.

THE COURT: Right. So the best -- the best alternative would be to have a independent computer, i.e., a laptop that could be placed in the attorney visitor room; that would be the best alternative. All right. So how do we get the laptop? Are there these spare laptops floating around with child porn on them that we --

MR. COLE: Your Honor, I have no idea. I -- Mr. Geller called me about this. I -- I did not inquire about it partly because I -- I had other things going this morning but also mainly because I was reluctant to. I just don't really want to get into the precedent of the government providing the equipment needed by defense. We provided an extra set of discovery already, we made a special set of discovery; we've acted as liaison to try to work this out with Geo and the defense, and that's worked; but now we're -- you know, the defense is asking us to provide a computer as well.

This whole thing was pitched to me at least, to the

government, as a tremendous cost savings, and I believe that it is; I mean they were talking about an investigator or an attorney going over for countless hours versus just the CJA budget buying one laptop, and I think the thing to do is to get a new laptop. It doesn't have to be fancy; it could be from Costco for, you know, whatever those cost, and put it in there, and then when it's done, it'll be -- belong to whoever CJA says it does. Maybe it goes to Federal Defenders afterwards. But it seems to me a very cheap alternative and the best precedent.

THE COURT: Well, there is -- there is -- there are provisions in the CJA for the purchase of a computer, but as -- I think it was Mr. Geller who stated that the CJA now is under assault, like all federal budgetary concerns, and their payments are not going out or not being made, and whenever that's lifted, I don't know. Has anybody explored this and gotten a definitive answer that we're just not going to give you a computer? Because there is a provision for the purchase of a computer.

MR. TROIANO: I don't believe there's a definitive answer. I think there was initially some confusion. We are under a CJA budgeting executive from the Ninth Circuit, so I'd say that as helpful as she is to organizing the budgeting process, it's added another layer of communication between us and Judge Miller or your Honor or the clerks in the CJA

```
office. Quite frankly, I'm not even sure who puts the thing
 1
 2
    into the machine to get the check generated at this point
 3
    when a vendor's involved; we haven't actually had to pay
 4
    anybody, so -- but she seems to think she can get a computer,
 5
    but we've lost communication on that issue. And I know Mr.
 6
    Geller's investigator, Mr. Stevens, has attempted to
 7
    communicate this through the clerk's office to CJA people
     there, but they're -- they're not really up to speed on our
 8
 9
     case; I'm not sure we could really put much weight on their
10
     answers at this point in time.
11
               THE COURT: I appreciate the government's --
12
               MR. SHERIF: Your Honor, if the Court will allow, I
13
    will buy a computer and (inaudible) a laptop, 500 bucks?
14
               THE COURT:
                          I certainly will allow that.
15
               MR. SHERIF: (inaudible) solved.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else for us to
17
     discuss now?
18
               MR. TROIANO: Well, I think that issue's still open
    until -- until we see delivery. I'm not going to hold -- I'm
19
20
    not going to hold Mr. Sherif to it because he doesn't
21
     actually represent either defendant --
22
               THE COURT: No.
23
               MR. TROIANO: -- and it's certainly not within the
24
    scope of his representation of --
25
               THE COURT: No --
```

MR. TROIANO: -- his client --

anything, and perhaps inappropriate, but the -- I understand the government's position; you know, it becomes a slippery slope of, you know, once they start providing this, you know, service or product, where does the -- and then, you know, the next case comes down the pike, and there'll be -- well, you know, Mr. Cole and Ms. Han said yeah, we'll provide a computer, and then it's pretty soon that's the norm and --

MR. GELLER: One other -- and I don't mean to interrupt the Court -- I was just thinking that it's possible -- we're having Copy Connection do a certain amount of work with respect to the discovery, and I -- what I think I -- what might not be a bad idea -- my office is in the same building as Copy Connection -- is they may have laptops that they might be able to work with, and they are a CJA provider where they can submit their own vouchers so long as they're less than \$800.

THE COURT: There's probably a lot of creative solutions out there. I don't know what sort of -- just thinking out loud, and that's all I'm doing right now -- it may behoove the government to want to be involved in the process only because the computer that is ultimately provided to the -- to the institution is one that the government is -- is confident is a secure computer, that it doesn't have

something in it that, you know, could somehow be a security issue with the -- with the institution.

MR. SHERIF: Are you suggesting anything -
THE COURT: No, I'm not, I'm not. I'm just -- I'm

not saying anything of the sort. I'm just saying there's

that measure of confidence that this is a computer that the

government is providing, and we're confident that, you know,

there's not, you know, some -- whatever, you know -- you know

what I'm talking about -- there's not security issues with

MR. GELLER: Well, also, if they downloaded all of the disks into the computer and there was no software at all, that's even more secure.

THE COURT: Yeah.

the laptop itself.

MR. TROIANO: Well, I've got -- your Honor, I really think -- I'll let Mr. Cole have the final rebuttal on this, but the government providing the computer, to me, is the best thing because they've spent probably millions of dollars totally investigating this case. I know that's all security clearance, need-to-know basis, information only, but I think it's kind of obvious from reading anything in this case the amount of money and resources that have gone into it. We're talking about under a thousand dollars, and they would have complete control of the configuration of what was provided to the defendants so that nobody could come back and

say you didn't give us that because they have it. If they want to add to it, they could take the computer, you know, document what they're changing, and return it to the defendants, and I think that's -- really, if I were them, that would be the smartest way to spend my -- this small pittance of money that's really involved here.

MR. COLE: I understand what Mr. Troiano's saying, but I have the completely opposite view of it. I actually want no control over this. I want -- we have provided the discovery to the defense in any case, we have worked with Geo and Geo's been very cooperative, extra staffing issues for them to make it available for -- in a much more convenient way for defense counsel. I don't want to download anything for them. I don't want to be responsible for what I downloaded or didn't download.

We gave them the discovery. The defense -- all they need is a laptop to save what they say will be thousands of dollars of CJA funds. And I don't want to be responsible when the laptop doesn't boot up right, when they say it's not working right, when they need another one. Really, I think the best thing is for this to be between the defense and their clients, and to -- the room's available now, the access is available, and I don't think the government should be involved. We've given them the disks. It's just audio files. It's not a complicated software, and so we really

would urge -- there's a provision for this in the CJA. I think that it's very -- it sounds like the Ninth Circuit -- my understanding, being once removed, is the Ninth Circuit fully supports it, the CJA panel supports this as a cost-saving thing. If someone in the clerk's office doesn't know this case, it seems it just takes the Court to say that this will be approved, and there'll be a laptop.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know -- it may be as simple as that, but there's -- there's the budgetary issues that we got to deal with, but I do think that that's probably the better approach. It may take a little more time to sort out. I'm going to ask Mr. Troiano and Mr. Geller to -- to take the laboring oar on this. I can give you the cite from the CJA, the Guide to Judiciary Policy. It's Section 320.70.40, and it specifically deals with computer hardware, software, and litigation support services, and it specifically authorizes the purchase of computers and so forth, just what you're asking for.

Now, the question is is there the money to -- to get that and get it in a timely fashion. The money's going to be there at some point; it's just that it may not come as quickly as you want. And so is -- is the time of 9:30 at night to 11:30 at night in the attorney room at Geo acceptable?

MR. TROIANO: That's acceptable to Mr. Doreh.

MR. GELLER: That's acceptable to Mr. Moalin as 1 2 well. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And, Warden, that would be a 4 time that they would have the access to that attorney room? 5 There's no other use for that room at that hour I would 6 presume. 7 WARDEN NOONAN: Correct, yes. 8 THE COURT: And so the laptop, where would that be 9 kept? 10 WARDEN NOONAN: I would keep it in a secure area in 11 the (inaudible) office. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So then at 9:30 at night, the 13 laptop would be brought into the attorney room, at 11:30 it 14 would be taken away, and then the next night, it would be 15 brought in and brought back. 16 WARDEN NOONAN: Yes --17 THE COURT: And is that an acceptable procedure to 18 both sides? MR. GELLER: There's one other thing too is that we 19 20 need to have -- and I think Mr. Cole's looked into this 21 and -- I'm not sure -- I think he's discussed it with Warden 22 Noonan -- there's hard copies, approximately 500, 600 pages 23 of text that the defendants need to review in conjunction 24 with listening to the audio to compare the translations that

the government made of the verbatim conversations. So I

assume that, Warden, you'll have that and you'll be able to provide that to them and take that from them and --

WARDEN NOONAN: I will keep that secured also..

MR. COLE: There'll be very organized binders by the computer, no problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TROIANO: Well, I think just for the warden's benefit, if I can just break down the paper discovery issue a little better. There's stuff the government that -- will provide that's under protective order, there is things that the defense will then provide -- because we may need to translate portions the government hasn't translated -- that may also be under a protective order -- it is under a protective order. That -- we would need to also provide that to -- to the warden and his staff as, you know, marked that it has to be secured. And then there's the third, this type of discovery, and that's just -- or paperwork that's, you know, legal mail type thing but not protected that the inmates can have in their cell subject to whatever rules the institution normally imposes on inmates.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know if I need to get this far down into the weeds on this. I mean it seems that the warden, who's present in court, is -- is cooperating, will allow -- will facilitate the review of discovery, whether it's under a protective order or not, and use of the

laptop during those hours in the evening in the attorney visiting room, which I think is all I really need to order. I mean I --

MR. TROIANO: I just wanted to make sure that he understood that he may be getting stuff from the defense too that doesn't require the Court's intervention I don't think, but I didn't know if he was aware of that.

THE COURT: I -- he is now I guess. All right.
You're aware of that now then, Warden?

WARDEN NOONAN: Yes

THE COURT: All right. So what I'm going to -- Mr. Geller, Mr. Troiano, you know, you're going to have to make a run at the clerk's office using this provision I've given you. I imagine that that CJA voucher, if -- it may even go to Judge Miller as opposed to me, but seems to me since this has been referred to me to handle, that that CJA voucher for approval would come through to me, but I'm not standing on that as gospel, and if it does, I would be certainly, you know, prepared to sign off on it as immediately and as soon as I get it as long as you're not asking for, you know, some Apple iMac, whatever, that my kids want that cost thousands of dollars, which I didn't approve that voucher either.

So I think that's the answer. I think that's the answer, and that's the way we should go. I agree with the government that it's probably best that they stay out of it

```
despite my musings that maybe it might be better if they were
 1
 2
     involved in providing the -- a laptop. And there is a
 3
    specific provision, so if we run into -- if you run into, you
 4
    know, some brick walls or some difficulties, then let me
 5
     know, and we'll -- we'll get back -- we'll reengage then.
 6
    All right?
 7
               MR. GELLER: Yes, your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, gentlemen, let me just
 9
    advise all of you that the next status hearing I have is
10
    April 7th, but I see it's as to everybody except Mr. Issa
11
     Doreh.
12
               MR. TROIANO: I think that's just a typo.
13
    sure how it got generated. It specifically says he is on
14
     calendar for that day before Judge Miller.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, gentlemen, each
16
     of you are ordered to appear before Judge Miller on April the
17
     7th, which is a little less than a month from now, at nine
18
     o'clock in the morning for a status hearing. Mr. Basaaly
19
    Moalin, do you understand that? I'm sorry?
20
               DEFENDANT MR. MOALIN:
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mohamed Mohamud?
2.2
               DEFENDANT MR. M. MOHAMUD: Yes.
23
               THE COURT: Mr. Issa Doreh?
24
               DEFENDANT MR. DOREH: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: And Mr. Ahmed Nasir Taalil Mohamud?
```

```
DEFENDANT MR. A. MOHAMUD: Yes, sir.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. I think that concludes our
    hearing for this afternoon. Let me know if there's any other
 3
 4
     issues.
 5
               MR. GELLER: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
               MR. TROIANO: Thank you.
 7
               MS. HAN: Thank you, your Honor.
          (The proceedings were concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Case 3:10-cr-04246-JM Document 472 Filed 03/20/14 Page 22 of 22

I, Debra M. Henson, an Official Court Reporter in and
for the United States District Court for the Southern
District of California, certify that the foregoing is a true
and correct transcription of the electronic sound recording
of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, transcribed
to the best of my ability.
/s/ Debra M. Henson 3-20-14 Official Court Reporter Date
and Transcriber